

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-3 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-3 are being canceled herewith. New Claims 4-6 are being added herewith. Support for these new claims can be found generally throughout the specification. Entry of these amendments is appropriate because the new claims do not introduce new matter. Applicant is also amending the specification herewith to introduce the Latin genus-species name for each of the ingredients. Entry of these amendments is appropriate because the new claims and amendments to the specification do not introduce new matter. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

#### **The Office Action**

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claims 1-3 were indicated as being allowable if the claims are amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. Applicant submits that the foregoing amendments to the claims overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection, and, therefore, the claims are now in condition for allowance.

#### **The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112**

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claims 1-3 are being canceled herewith. Therefore, the rejection of Claims 1-3 is moot.


Applicant is submitting herewith new Claims 4-6. Applicant submits that new Claims 4-6 address each one of the points raised by the examiner. Specifically, new Claims 4-6 are all one sentence long. New Claims 4-6 do not include the term “were decocted”.

The metes and bounds of new Claims 4-6 are clear because they include the standard Latin genus-species names of each ingredient in addition to the standard name. The metes and bounds of new Claims 4-6 are also clear because they include the term "parts by weight". The metes and bounds of new Claims 4-6 are also clear because they do not include the term "Anti-atypical pneumonia decoction". Finally, the metes and bounds of new Claims 4-6 are clear because they end in a period.

**Conclusion**

Applicant believes that the foregoing is a full and complete response to the Office Action. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing remarks. Such action is courteously solicited. Applicant further requests that the Examiner call the undersigned counsel if allowance of the claims can be facilitated by examiner's amendment, telephone interview or otherwise.

Respectfully submitted,

  
By: Robert E. Richards  
Reg. No. 29,105

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
Suite 2800, 1100 Peachtree Street  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
Tel: (404) 815-6500  
Fax: (404) 815-6555  
Our Docket No. 43056-287638